... until a time of reformation
Hebrews 9:10

Christ's coming triggered a reformation, inaugurating a new order.

The letter to the Hebrews is a transitional, and therefore, reformational book. Using both comparison and contrast, it shows the superiority of the new over the old, calling the believing Hebrews into complete transition. With a foot in both camps, they were caught in the external requirements of the old, despite having put their faith in Christ in the new.

The Greek word for “reformation” in our text (Hebrews 9:10) is diorthosis, meaning ‘a making straight, a rectification, correction’. But what was the nature of this reformation—what was it rectifying or correcting?

**Kairos—the "appointed time"**

The answer to this will provide the DNA of every future reformation. Beginning with Christ's first coming there have been various ‘times of reformation’ – of rectifying – in the history of the church.

The word for “time” in our text is kairos, which refers to qualitative time, to a critical moment, which may not recur, in which certain events and actions must occur. It therefore carries with it a sense of urgency and destiny. This is in contrast to chronos, referring to quantitative time, to its general flow.

**Kairos** moments are “appointed times” in history:

13 You will arise and have pity on Zion;
it is the time to favor her;
the appointed time has come.

14 For your servants hold her stones dear
and have pity on her dust.

15 Nations will fear the name of the LORD,
and all the kings of the earth will fear your glory.

16 For the LORD builds up Zion;
he appears in his glory;

Psalm 102:13–16 ESV

They are times of restoration, rebuilding, and increased revelation of God's glory.

They are also prophetically foreshadowed, demanding great patience until their time arrives:
“For the vision is yet for the appointed time;  
It hastens toward the goal and it will not fail.  
Though it tarry, wait for it;  
For it will certainly come, it will not delay.

Habakkuk 2:3 NASB

Prophets and seers, having seen the vision of an appointed time, are called to wait for God in the face of delays and disappointments. A prophetic delay tests the prophet, reducing them and ensuring the fulfilment is of God.

Even so, once the window arrives they demand response. God has appointed times of reformation—moments of destiny, calling forth a ‘rectification and correction’. Jesus came bringing a correction to the old order, setting the pattern for every future reformation.

Like the Hebrews of the first century this is a transitional generation. In my estimation the period 1950-2025 approximates a new hinge in history—one on which a re-formed and restored Christianity swings, which having been reached will fill the earth with the knowledge of the glory of the Lord. We are again in a season of radical reformation, an appointed time, when root issues are being unearthed and a new obedience is required. A time when every plant that the Father has not planted will be plucked up, and when the spirit of Elijah will be crying in the wilderness, "Prepare the way of the Lord."

Continuities & discontinuities

The Kairos unequivocally brings a sword and not peace (Mtt 10:34). While the transition to a new order reflects both continuities and discontinuities, I wish to emphasise the latter. The scribe of the kingdom draws out things both old and new (Mtt 13:52), but the movement is inexorably toward the new. Jesus put it plainly:

No one sews a piece of unshrunk cloth on an old garment. If he does, the patch tears away from it, the new from the old, and a worse tear is made.

Mark 2:21 ESV

While there is continuity in terms of chronos – God’s purpose through the general flow of history – there is discontinuity in terms of kairos – God’s purpose realised at specific points of history. As with the first coming of Christ, the new – while fulfilling prophetic expectations – in that moment, inevitably separates from and supersedes the old.

A deep-seated flaw—the ‘why’ of reformation

Reformation flies in the face of tradition and the established order. Why is this? Because of a deep-seated flaw demanding rectification. This is germane to every reformation. Until this flaw is recognised our best attempts at developing new wineskins will only produce hybrid and bastardised versions of the true. This is tragically the case
in much of the contemporary church, including the ‘emerging church’, the ‘new apostolic reformation’, and ‘organic church’ movements. Like the Hebrew believers we have a foot in both camps. Like Jonathan we are enamoured with the new – David – but cannot make the break from Saul's house, his values and mindsets, to pursue him into the wilderness—into the opprobrium of being a reformer. Without minimising the price they paid, this phenomenon surfaced in the tension between the mainstream Reformers such as Calvin and Zwingli and the radical arm of the Reformation, the Anabaptists, in their refusal to embrace further light. I will comment on this further in a moment. Suffice to say that without taking this to heart we fall short of a full re-formation, ultimately proving to be spiritually impotent. We unwittingly pour old wine into new wineskins.

But what is the old wine?

**The leaven of the Pharisees**

Jesus, in bringing ‘rectification’ to the old order, consistently warned about the ‘leaven of the Pharisees’ (see Mtt 16:6, 11; Mk 8:15; Lk 12:1). This is the fatal flaw of the old order, both then and now.

The old wine is the leaven of the Pharisees, and their teaching:

*Then they understood that He did not say to beware of the leaven of bread, but of the teaching of the Pharisees and Sadducees.*

Matthew 16:12 NASB

But what did the Pharisees teach? The ‘traditions of men’.

*(For the Pharisees and all the Jews do not eat unless they wash their hands properly, holding to the tradition of the elders, and when they come from the marketplace, they do not eat unless they wash. And there are many other traditions that they observe, such as the washing of cups and pots and copper vessels and dining couches.) And the Pharisees and the scribes asked him, “Why do your disciples not walk according to the tradition of the elders, but eat with defiled hands?” And he said to them, “Well did Isaiah prophesy of you hypocrites, as it is written, ‘This people honors me with their lips, but their heart is far from me; in vain do they worship me, teaching as doctrines the commandments of men.’ You leave the commandment of God and hold to the tradition of men.”*

Mark 7:3–8 ESV

So, what are these traditions and teachings?
The rules of the flesh

According to our headquarters text (Heb 9:10) the old is defined by the rules of the flesh. It was these that required rectification. They are what Paul calls the “tradition of men, according to the elementary principles of the world” (Col 2:8). These, in terms of Judaism, related to certain external ritual protocols, but for us today can refer to any emphasis on external protocols.

How does this work?

The Pharisees' emphasis on externals became a religious system and stronghold of which Jesus warned, describing it as leaven; it is thus, insidious and subtle in its workings. These influences are usually highly nuanced: they are not obvious to the naked eye. Nevertheless, as leaven, it becomes all-encompassing, infiltrating and influencing the whole person or group.

When this dynamic is active the rules of the flesh gradually supplant the commandment of God, replacing the “law of the Spirit of life” (Rom 8:2) with human rules. While operating within the church of God, in reality, they are the principles of this world.

Humanly derived rules of membership take over. These can be written or unwritten requirements—certain measurements of success or spirituality: knowing the right people, having the right sized church, a particular theology, or a certain worship style. For every group there are certain shibboleths or protocols for acceptance. In essence, they become a worldly value system based on outward conformity. As the Lord said to Samuel, "Man looks at the outward, but God looks at the heart” (1 Sam 16:7).

The leaven of pride & reputation

Now, what is the active agent within leaven? Pride. This core disease is revealed in our desire for reputation—for being well thought of and accepted by men. Consequently, we wear masks – we present an image to those around us – a face of popularity, success, or spirituality. It is what Jesus called hypocrisy, which is defined by acting, or playing a part; literally, in the Greco-Roman theatre, by the wearing of masks.

The warnings are clear:

"...'Beware of the leaven of the Pharisees, which is hypocrisy.

'But there is nothing covered up that will not be revealed, and hidden that will not be known.'

Luke 12:1-2 NASB
So when you give to the poor, do not sound a trumpet before you, as the hypocrites do in the synagogues and in the streets, so that they may be honored by men. Truly I say to you, they have their reward in full.

Matthew 6:2 NASB

When you pray, you are not to be like the hypocrites; for they love to stand and pray in the synagogues and on the street corners so that they may be seen by men. Truly I say to you, they have their reward in full.

Matthew 6:5 NASB

Whenever you fast, do not put on a gloomy face as the hypocrites do, for they neglect their appearance so that they will be noticed by men when they are fasting. Truly I say to you, they have their reward in full.

Matthew 6:16 NASB

It is significant that all the activities Jesus described were spiritual: giving to the poor, prayer, and fasting. Nevertheless, they were done to be “noticed by men”, to build a reputation for spirituality.

This is the animating spirit behind Babylon:

They said, 'Come, let us build for ourselves a city, and a tower whose top will reach into heaven, and let us make for ourselves a name, otherwise we will be scattered abroad over the face of the whole earth.'

Genesis 11:4 NASB

The driving force behind Christian ministry

The leaven of the Pharisees, therefore, can be the driving force behind seemingly spiritual activity—planting and building churches, growing movements and ministries, evangelising, pastoring, and even feeding the poor. Beneath all the activity lies a hidden desire to build a reputation, “a name for ourselves”.

It is what the writer to the Hebrews calls dead works (Heb 6:1). Remember that the believing Hebrews were caught between the old and the new; with one foot in the new order they were still operating out of works-righteousness, which is always rooted in self—in self-effort and self-promotion. Any righteousness derived from ourselves, even through our activity in ministry is self-righteousness, and thus, Pharisaic.
A spiritual stronghold

This is the substance of a spiritual stronghold. In military terms, a stronghold or fortress is constructed for self-defence. According to Paul, our own “reasonings” (Gr logismos - computations) are mental building blocks thrown up to establish a high place or stronghold (see 2 Cor 10:1-5). But what kind of reasonings? Those that defend us: that justify our practices, perspectives, or position. Any argument of self-justification is symptomatic of a stronghold and is at heart the spirit of anti-christ. “Anti-Christ” literally means in the place of Christ. Self-justification inevitably supplants Christ's justification, which is by faith, in contrast to works. This explains why the Pharisaic spirit is so antagonistic to the Spirit of Christ, and so given to self-justifying postures and self-authenticating activity. It drives the religious machine and builds towers to heaven. It can possess – to various degrees – individuals, churches, and entire religious movements and institutions as they strive according to worldly measures of success. Lying at the root of this religious stronghold is a deep unresolved self-reliance—in fact, a spirit of pride and rebellion.

Nevertheless, according to Paul, "the weapons of our warfare are mighty to the pulling down of strongholds" (2 Cor 10:4). But what are these weapons? In the context of Paul's statement they are the weapons of the cross – of brokenness and humility – which are contrary to the world’s wisdom (1 Cor 1:18-19). The Corinthians, and more particularly the super-apostles (2 Cor 11:5, 13), were boasting among themselves and "comparing themselves with themselves" (2 Cor 10:12). They were saying that Paul was "unimpressive in person and that his speaking amounted to nothing" (2 Cor 10:10). They, like the contemporary church, had received "another spirit" (2 Cor 11:4), "boasting as the world does" (2 Cor 11:18), self-promoting and exploiting the people (2 Cor 11:20). Like passive smokers, they had imbibed the spirit of the age – the false value system of their culture – and were operating according to the "principles of this world" (Gal 4:3).

Consequently, coming from the opposite spirit as a genuine apostle, Paul boasted only of his sufferings and weaknesses (2 Cor 11:21-30).

Spirit of the new reformation

This is the spirit of the new reformation. It comes from a "broken and contrite heart" which God can never ignore (Ps 51:17). He looks to the person or group with this spirit; he is safe among them, and therefore, dwells with them (Isa 57:15; 66:2).

So what is the message to the transitional generation between two orders? For the Hebrew believers, stepping into the new order demanded the ‘rectification’ of the old, requiring a change of heart—in fact, “repentance from dead works” (Heb 6:1).

For us, this means recognising humanity’s congenital rebellion against God; and thus, the deep spirit of pride driving all our best attempts to serve him. It is time to remove our religious masks and come into the light. Without this, we will continue to build religious towers to heaven, creating worthless reputations for ourselves, and unwittingly inviting the displeasure of God.
The new reformation is first a reformation of the heart. Only then will God release us to re-form structures.

Now is the appointed time: time to turn from our dead works—from our worldly value systems and put our faith in God.

Before we can attempt any reformation of structures—of church or society—our spirit must be clean. Only by turning from dead works—from every humanly derived activity and from our innate rebellion and independence—will we be released by God into the reformation of structures.

Without this deep work of the Spirit we will only replicate the past. The rivers of reformation only ever flow from the wells of regeneration. For this reason Jesus refers to the final rectification brought by his kingdom as “the regeneration” (Mtt 19:28). History is tragically replete with failed religious and political movements that have sort to bring their version of God’s kingdom to earth through activism of one kind or another. They have ignored the condition of the human heart—notably their own—to the world’s peril.

Having established the deeply personal heart-foundation of reformation let us move from the individual to the corporate—from the new wine of the processed heart to the wineskin of corporate life.

Like the 16th Century Reformation, the new reformation will be triggered through the unveiling of a truth.

However, the unfolding of truth in its restoration is progressive: "The path of the just is as a shining light shining more and more to the perfect day” (Prov 4:18). Jesus explained that “Man doesn't live by bread alone, but by every word that is proceeding from the mouth of God” (Mtt 4:4). The verb “proceeding” is in the present continuous tense. There is a ‘present and proceeding’ word for each generation. Martin Luther received it concerning ‘justification by faith’, John Wesley ‘holiness’, and the Pentecostals the ‘baptism of the Holy Spirit’. But what is the word for this generation? God give us an ear to hear what the Spirit is saying to the church today!

Priest, Prophet, & King

As the consummate and final word of God, Jesus is revealed in three dimensions: as Priest, Prophet, and King.

He showed himself in the historic Reformation as our Great High Priest, resulting in the recovery of ‘justification by faith’, the ‘priesthood of the believer’, ‘water baptism’; and two centuries later through Wesley, ‘sanctification’. The ascension-gift ministries restored in this time were that of the teacher, pastor, and evangelist (Eph 4:11).

In the revivals of the 20th Century, particularly mid-century, Christ was revealed as ‘Prophet’, resulting in the recovery of ‘the laying on of hands and prophecy’ (Heb 6:1; 1 Tim 4:14) and the ascension-gift ministry of the prophet (Eph 4:11).

And now, in the coming outpouring Christ will be revealed as King. Through this last great outpouring of the Spirit the ascension-gift ministry of the apostle will be restored hand-in-glove with ‘resurrection life and eternal
judgement’. The body of Christ will finally come to maturity—to the “full measure of the stature of Christ” (see Eph 4:11-13 & Heb 6:1-3).

Parenthetically, I might add that the restoration of the ascension-gift ministries has only been in measure. We view them very much through 1,800 years of tradition and Greek worldview. Their fullness awaits that time when Christ, who is the Apostle and High Priest of our calling (Heb 3:1), reveals himself in full measure to the church. At that time he will release mature apostleship as pivotal to the full emergence of the other ascension-gift ministries, all toward the final ingathering of the nations.

The crux of the current reformation

The unveiling of Christ as Priest through the historic Reformation triggered revolutionary structural change; likewise, so will the revelation of Christ as King. Christ revealed as Priest cut off the human priesthood at the knees; and so, the revelation of Christ as King will cut off the human kingship at the neck.

This is the crux of the current reformation.

Through the prophet God protests, "They set up kings without my consent; they choose princes without my approval…” (Hos 8:4). This is alluding to Israel's rejection of Samuel for a king. They had relinquished the Priesthood to Aaron but now they went the next step, tragically relinquishing the Kingship to Saul. The old covenant church (ancient Israel) had been called out from the nations to be corporately a kingdom of priests (Ex 19:5-6)—to be God's vice-regents, spreading the knowledge of the glory of the Lord throughout the earth by a personal knowledge of one the true God. But now through her rebellion and rejection of this knowledge of God she sold her calling, losing it to a separate caste of kings and priests.

As a type this foreshadowed the greatest miss-development in the entire new covenant church's history—her relinquishing of the royal priesthood (1 Cor 10:6, 11; 1 Pet 2:9) to a separate caste of kings and priests – the clergy – and therefore, to human control. The second and third centuries saw the rise of the monarchical bishop.1 As did ancient Israel, the church effectively declared, "Give us a king like all the other nations” (1 Sam 8:5). Rejecting the Samuels – the men of the Spirit (apostles and prophets) – they were replaced with a human office—the monarchical bishop, the direct equivalent of senior minister (pastor) in today's church. Authority gradually shifted from a relational and spiritual base to a hierarchical and organisational one, plunging the church into progressive idolatry and spiritual decline.

Confusion between secular & spiritual authority

This was accelerated by state patronage of the church under Constantine and subsequent emperors. The pagan role of the Emperor as high priest, Pontifex Maximus, was used to legitimise his interference in the church. The lines between secular and spiritual authority became completely blurred as the Emperor presided over church affairs and bishops acquired civil jurisdiction; spiritual authority was effectively replaced by positional authority.

---

1 See chapter 9, Snakes in the Temple, for a fuller treatment of ministerial ‘office’. 
The teaching of Jesus on this issue was ignored resulting in confusion between secular and spiritual authority; they are two completely different kinds of authority relating to two separate spheres.

Jesus taught this when He said:

25 But Jesus called them to him and said, “You know that the rulers of the Gentiles lord it over them, and their great ones exercise authority over them. 26 It shall not be so among you. But whoever would be great among you must be your servant,

Matthew 20:25–26 ESV

One is secular relating to the civil/business sphere – “the Gentiles” – and the other is spiritual relating to the house of God—“among you”. The former is organisational and hierarchical – “lord it over you” – the latter is relational and spiritual — “the greatest shall be your servant”.

The former is upheld by the sword of the civil magistrate: legal, organisational, and ultimately coercive means (e.g. the rule of law through police, courts, laws, rules, constitutions etc); and the latter, by the sword of truth: the word of God and conscience. The former is seen, for example, in the employer/employee relationship requiring obedience to a position of authority. It is important to note that their position does not imply that they are a bad employer; the same applies to positions of traditional church authority. There are many fine men and women of God who occupy traditional positions of authority, whether they are called pastor, apostle, bishop, superintendent etc. The descriptor of the position is not so much the issue, although it is when it becomes a title—a proper-noun rather than an adjective (i.e. ‘Apostle Bill’ instead of ‘Bill the apostle’, or ‘Bill is apostolic’; or ‘Father Ted’). The issue, however, is that we unconsciously view the ministry described as an organisational position rather than a charismatic function; and once we do this it is accompanied with an official authority and title. This is despite Jesus' clear teaching that we were not to carry honorific titles:

But do not be called Rabbi: for One is your Teacher, and you are all brothers. Do not call anyone on earth your father; for One is your Father, He who is in heaven. Do not be called leaders; for One is your Leader, that is, Christ.

Matthew 23:8-10 NASB

The contemporary apostolic movement is falling foul of Jesus' teaching at this point. Its fondness for titles (e.g. ‘Apostle Bill’, or ‘Pastor Jack’) is only symptomatic of a deeper Constantinian and non-relational view of authority. Without distinguishing between spiritual and secular authority it will only replicate history—more control, abuse and spiritual confusion.

The root problem was not fully addressed by the Reformation. Despite the recovery at an individual level of the priesthood of the believer, at a corporate level priests were merely replaced by pastors. We just switched titles. A
protestant priesthood with official powers was the inevitable result of the Reformer's refusal to distinguish between spiritual and secular authority. The confusion between the two legitimised the Inquisition prior to the Reformation, and the drowning of Anabaptists during it. In both cases the church – whether Protestant or Catholic – self-consciously used the secular-civil arm. Because of the Constantinian confusion of authorities great abuse was perpetrated on the people of God.

To transfer the same concept of authority from pastors to apostles, often under the term spiritual covering, is to miss the heart of the reformation. It only serves to perpetuate the historic problem of a human intermediary between Christ and his congregation; and as such it can only be described as anti-Christ, which by definition means ‘to be in the place of Christ’. As the great English poet, John Milton, aptly observed in his day, “The new presbyter [elder] is but the old priest writ large”; sometimes not a lot changes in times of reformation apart from vocabulary and title. This is a serious indictment on the current apostolic movement and more broadly on both traditional and contemporary church structures. To take the place of Christ over God's inheritance is to invite the displeasure of God. This is not to deny the restoration of true apostles to the church, but it is to declare that if this is to occur there must be a discerning between secular and spiritual authority. This discernment will then demand the exorcism of the former from the house of God.

In the light of the above miss-development, the revelation of the ‘priesthood of the believer’ and new covenant freedom, it demands repentance and rectification. The fear of the Lord is about to fall on the church. Many leaders will see the error of their ways and turn to the Lord in this regard.

The two streams

After all is said and done, there are only two streams in the current reformation. One flows on the surface and the other in the depths.

The former, flowing on the surface is visible, but prone to contamination. It pursues a profile and is accommodating to man's ways and methods, usually appealing to the cognitive and the pragmatic. It is identifiable with particular men or movements.

The latter is hidden, flowing underground and is free from contamination. It is “deep calling to deep”. It pursues a deep spirituality and doesn't seek a public profile or recognition—it does not yield to man's ways or measures of success. It pursues the ways of the Spirit and is often difficult to identify with any particular man or movement, although it is certainly not without leaders.

The surface stream was seen in the mainstream Reformers such as Luther, Zwingli, and Calvin. They were given great profile, which allowed the Reformation to survive and indeed spread in the face of intense opposition. However, this profile led Luther to trade his earlier call to freedom for the patronage and protection of the German princes. The stream was contaminated, leading to the confusion of civil and spiritual authority, retarding the spiritual development of the Reformation. Even to this day the Lutheran church of Germany remains the state church. Nevertheless, this is not to deny the providence of God in the princes’ patronage of the Reformation, and thus, its survival—even if it was somewhat diluted.
However, the underground stream refused to compromise on this very point. What has been commonly recognised as the ‘medieval underground’, a broad-based faceless movement of dissent and spiritual renewal dating back 1,000 years, surfaced almost contemporaneously with Luther. This more radical stream of the Reformation became known as the Anabaptists. So named for their commitment to rebaptism coming out of the revelation that the church (ecclesia) are the ‘called out ones’—a ‘gathered believing community’ as distinct from the secular community and its civil jurisdiction.

This was in stark contrast to Christendom—a prevailing monolithic system consisting of an unholy alliance between secular and spiritual authorities. Entry to this system was through natural birth and infant baptism. One was simply born into Christendom. To be rebaptised, as a believing adult, was therefore viewed as a declaration of war on the old system and was deeply subversive—both socially and politically. From Constantine onwards Christianity, as the state religion, had served as social cement.

This prophetic posture of the underground church in rebaptism, separating secular and spiritual spheres, paved the way for the separation of church and state and the religious freedom now enjoyed in the USA and other Western nations. Nevertheless, it must be stated, that the separation of church and state was not conceived so as to separate Christianity from the state and the public square; rather, it was conceived so as not to favour one denomination of the faith over others through a state sanctioned church.

The ultimatum

In view of this, an ultimatum must be declared: if the underground stream does not take the reformation to the next level by redefining the nature and function of authority within the church even the religious freedoms that have already been won will be lost. In fact, the state will either revert to Christendom where Christianity, as the defacto state religion, is used again as social cement; or more likely in the short term, the prevailing post-Christian culture will revert to occult paganism, as the state religion, for the same reason.

We have the separation of church and state. But it is now time for the separation of secular and spiritual styles of leadership within the church—for the exorcism of hierarchical and ‘Gentilic’ authority from the house of God.

Pastors and leaders, now is the time to humble ourselves and seek the Lord. He will not share his glory with another.

It is time to relinquish what belongs only to him.
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